

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,383	06/25/2003	Yun Young Choi	30205/39428	2766
4743	7590 07/27/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			CHANG, JOSEPH	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2817	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/IX
			<i>(</i> K
Office Action Summary	10/603,383		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE -544'-	Joseph Chang	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		·	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTIB. cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I 		•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			·
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: a		ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	-		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sui Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/04.		ormal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "decoder" as it relates to the claims 4-6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the "decoder" as it relates to the claims 4-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (US 6031425).

Regarding Claims 1, Hasegawa discloses in figure 4, a PLL comprising: a phase comparator (14) for comparing a reference frequency of an external clock signal with a

comparison frequency of a comparison clock signal (phase comparator intrinsic functionality); a filter (17) for filtering an output signal from the phase comparator (filter intrinsic functionality); a VCO (18) for generating a clock signal of frequency proportional to a DC signal from the filter (VCO intrinsic functionality); a prescaler (19) for selectively dividing the output clock signal (fvco) from the voltage control oscillator by using at least two division ratios (1/M, 1(M+1)); a program counter (20) for dividing an output signal (Pout) from the prescaler (19) with a predetermined division ratio (N), and outputting the comparison clock signal (fp) having the comparison frequency; a swallow counter (21) for controlling the division ratio of the prescaler (col. 6, lines 26-27); and a controller (22) for outputting a control signal (MD) to control frequency division of the VCO (sends L level and H level to control the division ratio) by using set points (M, M+1) of the prescaler (19), the swallow counter (21) and the program counter(20)(Col.6, lines 30-44).

Regarding Claim 2, Col.6, lines 30-44 discloses that the prescaler (19) is set at large one (M+1) of the two division ratios (1/M, 1(M+1)) while the swallow counter operates (until the swallow counter counts 'A' pulses).

Regarding Claim 3, Col.6, lines 30-44 discloses that the prescaler (19) is set at small one (M) of the two division ratios (1/M, 1(M+1)) when the swallow counter counts a pulse ('A') by the set point (M).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa.

As noted above in the claims 1 and 2 rejection, Hasegawa discloses in figure 4, a PLL comprising: a phase comparator, a filter, a VCO, a prescaler, a program counter, a swallow counter, and a controller. However, Hasegawa does not explicitly disclose that the controller is a decoder. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the controller is a form of a decoder because the controller is functionally decoding the two inputs from the two counters and sending out the MD control signal. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the controller is a form of a decoder because such a decoder would have been required in order to decode the two inputs from the counters and sends out the MD control signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, Hasegawa, taken alone or in combination of other references, does not teach or fairly suggest "the bit number of output bits, the whole counter set point".

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamashita et al. discloses a PLL having a swallow counter and a prescaler for a slip phase control.

Kamikubo et al discloses a PLL having a swallow counter and a prescaler for a short lockup time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,383 Page 6

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817